

Illinois Chamber of Commerce 2009-2010 Legislative Ratings





About the Illinois Chamber Legislative Ratings

If employers are to change the culture in Springfield, they must educate themselves on the record of their representatives and hold them accountable for it. That is why for more than 25 years the Chamber has developed a legislative scorecard to highlight the key votes taken by legislators that impact employers' bottom lines. Given the state of our economy, we believe the Chamber's legislative ratings have never been a more important tool for employers and the public.

Our goal is to provide the best representation of legislators' records possible to our members and the public. However, the fact remains that we cannot rate issues if a representative roll call vote was not taken. In this way, the ratings also cast a light on the errors of omission: the issues that could mean so much to the business climate, but fail to receive action.

Is there any doubt that our economy would be much stronger if workers compensation, civil justice reform, and overregulation had been effectively addressed? Please use these ratings to remind your legislators of the critical issues to your business that go unaddressed.

The ratings are based on legislators' votes on the key business legislation of the 96th General Assembly as determined by staff and the Chamber's Government Affairs Committee. The votes in the Senate and House are selected based on their impact on the business community. In cases where more than one roll call was taken on a bill, the vote that best demonstrates support for employers' vital interests was chosen.

The 2009-2010 Illinois Chamber Champion of Free Enterprise Award Winners

The Illinois Chamber of Commerce is proud to recognize legislators that have made special contributions in the defense of free enterprise, and the furtherance of economic opportunities for Illinoisans.

Legislators with Illinois Chamber ratings averaging 85% or better over the previous three General Assemblies have demonstrated their commitment to legislation that frees the entrepreneurial spirit and qualify for the award. The Chamber also wishes to recognize those legislators serving their first term in either the Senate or House that have demonstrated special leadership during their first term in office in the promotion of free enterprise. The Champion of Free Enterprise Awards are given biennially.

<i>Senate Award Winners</i>	<i>House Award Winners</i>
Senator Pamela Althoff	Representative Suzanne Bassi
Senator Bill Brady	Representative Mark Beaubien
Senator Brad Burzynski	Representative Bill Black
Senator Gary Dahl	Representative Mike Bost
Senator John Jones	Representative Dan Brady
Senator Chris Lauzen	Representative Rich Brauer
Senator David Leuchtefeld	Representative Tom Cross
Senator Kyle McCarter- <i>outstanding freshman</i>	Representative Shane Cultra
Senator Christine Radogno	Representative Roger Eddy
Senator Dale Righter	Representative Renée Kosel
Senator Dale Risinger	Representative David Leitch
Senator Dave Syverson	Representative Jerry Mitchell
	Representative Rich Myers
	Representative JoAnn Osmond
	Representative Robert Pritchard
	Representative David Reis
	Representative Jim Sacia
	Representative Tim Schmitz
	Representative Darlene Senger- <i>outstanding freshman</i>
	Representative Keith Sommer
	Representative Ron Stephens
	Representative Ed Sullivan
	Representative Mike Tryon
	Representative Jim Watson
	Representative Dave Winters

Senate Bills and Votes

SB 43 Prevailing Wage Expansion

Would require employers located within a TIF district or Enterprise Zone to pay prevailing wage on construction and maintenance projects, effectively killing these economic development tools.

Chamber Position: Oppose
Senate Vote: 34-21-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed Senate, Held in House

SB 44 Cigarette Tax Increase

Increases state tax on cigarettes by \$1 per pack. Would drive sales to neighboring states that have a lower tax on cigarettes.

Chamber Position: Oppose
Senate Vote: 30-26-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed Senate, Held in House

SB 107 Telecommunications Modernization

Updates the state's 25-year old telecommunications laws that will bring widespread investment to Illinois. Allows telecommunications providers to invest in newer technology and provide better service to customers.

Chamber Position: Support
Senate Vote: 52-0-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SB 1350 Expands UI Lockout Benefits

As passed the Senate, extends number of weeks of unemployment insurance benefits to workers involved in a lockout. Would further disadvantage employers and passed the Senate in violation of the agreed bill process. Amended in the House to with language acceptable to the Chamber.

Chamber Position: Oppose Senate version
Senate Vote: 37-19-1; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SB 1691 Economic Incentive Credit Extensions

Extends the sunset on the Manufacturers' Purchase Credit, Graphic Arts Machinery Exemption and Replacement Tax Investment Credit. These exemptions are vital to economic incentives promoting investment in the Illinois economy.

Chamber Position: Support
Senate Vote: 56-0-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SB 1946 Pension Reform

Increases the retirement age and brings benefits more in line with the private sector for new state employees. A meaningful first step into gaining control of the worst funded pension systems in the nation.

Chamber Position: Support
Senate Vote: 48-6-3; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SB 3566 Abusive Workplace Act

Would create a new cause of action for public employers that are found to have an “abusive work environment”. If adopted, would create a large new area of liability exposure for employers.

Chamber Position: Oppose

Senate Vote: 37-15-0; 3rd Reading

Outcome: Passed Senate; Held in House

SB 3576 Procurement Reform

Makes important changes to SB 51, the procurement reform bill of 2009. Removes many unintended consequences of the reform law and allows vendors to comply in a manner beneficial to taxpayers.

Chamber Position: Support

Senate Vote: 56-0-0; 3rd Reading

Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SJR 35 Encourages Congress to Support Card Check

Resolution urging passage of the job killing “Employee Free Choice Act”, aka, the Card Check bill.

Chamber Position: Oppose

Senate Vote: 33-21-0; 3rd Reading

Outcome: Resolution adopted in Senate, Held in House

HB 174 Income Tax Increase

Would be the largest tax increase in state’s history. Raises personal income tax rate from 3% to 5%, corporate rate from 4.8% to 5.0% and creates a new service tax on hundreds of consumer and business services. Attempt to raise revenues for state budget before meaningful spending reforms and budget cuts are enacted.

Chamber Position: Oppose

Senate Vote: 31-27-1; 3rd Reading

Outcome: Passed both Houses on 3rd Reading; Held on House Order of Concurrence

HB 255 Capital Plan Funding

Provides for legalization of video poker and other various revenue sources to fund the first transportation infrastructure capital plan since 2001. Imperfect plan, but a must-have for vital infrastructure investment.

Chamber Position: Support

Senate Vote: 47-12-0; 3rd Reading

Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

HB 2239 Partnership Tax Repeal

Corrects an unintended change in the 2009 Budget Implementation Bill that would have increased partnership personal property replacement tax liability by 50%.

Chamber Position: Support

Senate Vote: 57-0-0; 3rd Reading

Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

Name/Party	SB43	SB44	SB107	SB1350	SB1691	SB1946	SB3566	SB3576	SJR35	HB174	HB255	HB2239			
	34-21-0	30-26-0	52-0-0	37-19-1	56-0-0	48-6-3	37-15-0	56-0-0	33-21-0	31-27-1	47-12-0	57-0-0			
Chamber Position	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	09-'10%	07-'08%	05-'06%
Althoff [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	100%	88%	72%
Bivins [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	92%	NA	NA
Bomke [R]	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	75%	80%	88%
Bond [D]	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	67%	40%	NA
Brady [R]	+	+	NV	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	-	+	90%	100%	100%
Burzynski [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	92%	100%	100%
Clayborne [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	NV	-	-	+	+	45%	36%	56%
Collins [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	42%	16%	10%
Cronin [R]	NV	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	76%	72%
Crotty [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	16%	36%
Dahl [R]	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	100%	100%
DeLeo [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	32%	26%
Delgado [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	NV	45%	17%	NA
Demuzio, Deanna [D]	-	-	+	-	+	P	-	+	-	-	+	+	42%	36%	44%
Dillard [R]	NV	+	+	+	NV	P	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%	72%	84%
Duffy [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	92%	NA	NA
Forby [D]	-	+	+	-	+	P	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	36%	56%
Frerichs [D]	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	30%	NA
Garrett [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	NV	+	+	+	64%	21%	38%
Haine [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	58%	36%	56%
Harmon [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	16%	0%
Hendon [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	NV	+	-	-	+	+	55%	16%	10%
Holmes [D]	-	-	+	P	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	55%	53%	NA
Hultgren [R]	+	+	NV	+	NV	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	100%	80%	NA
Hunter [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	NV	45%	16%	0%
Hutchinson [D]	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	58%	NA	NA
Jacobs [D]	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	42%	69%	66%
Jones III, E [D]	-	NV	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	55%	NA	NA
Jones, J. [R]	+	+	+	NV	+	+	-	NV	+	+	+	+	90%	89%	80%
Koehler [D]	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	58%	16%	NA
Kotowski [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	44%	NA
Lauzen [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	92%	88%	84%
Lightford [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	27%	36%
Link [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	NV	-	+	+	55%	16%	10%
Luechtefeld [R]	+	+	NV	+	+	-	NV	+	+	+	+	+	90%	88%	72%
Maloney [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	58%	16%	26%
Martinez [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	27%	10%
McCarter [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	92%	NA	NA
Meeks [D]	NV	-	+	-	+	+	NV	+	NV	-	-	+	56%	17%	48%
Millner [R]	NV	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	82%	78%	NA
Munoz [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	48%	20%
Murphy [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	92%	90%	NA
Noland [D]	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	58%	16%	NA
Pankau [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	80%	72%
Radogno [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	100%	80%	84%
Raoul [D]	-	-	NV	-	+	NV	-	+	-	-	+	+	40%	16%	26%
Righter [R]	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	83%	100%	100%
Risinger [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	+	+	+	+	+	100%	89%	88%
Rutherford [R]	+	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	NV	+	+	+	-	+	**	88%	100%
Sandoval [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	23%	16%
Schoenberg [D]	-	-	NV	-	+	+	-	+	NV	+	+	+	60%	21%	20%
Silverstein [D]	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	P	+	+	55%	16%	26%
Steans, H	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	50%	NA	NA

House Bills and Votes

HB 255 Capital Plan Funding

Provides for legalization of video poker and other various revenue sources to fund the first transportation infrastructure capital plan since 2001. Imperfect plan, but a must-have for vital infrastructure investment.

Chamber Position: Support
House Vote: 86-30-1; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

HB 2239 Partnership Tax Repeal

Corrects an unintended change in the 2009 Budget Implementation Bill that would have increased partnership personal property replacement tax liability by 50%.

Chamber Position: Support
House Vote: 114-0-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

HB 3814 Public Health Advocates Act

Would establish a new Public Health Advocate, a CUB-like organization for healthcare issues that would pursue a negative legislative and regulatory agenda certain to increase health care costs for employers.

Chamber Position: Oppose
House Vote: 44-59-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Failed in House

HB 3923 Health Care Overregulation

As initially passed by the House, contained onerous provisions such as mandatory “medical loss ratios” and other changes to state regulation resulting in higher costs. With Chamber input, drastically changed in the Senate, resulting in positive legislation.

Chamber Position: Oppose House version
House Vote: 62-45-1; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

HB 4658 Employee Credit History

As initially passed by the House, prevented most employers from being able to utilize credit history in the hiring process, even for very sensitive positions. Amended in the Senate to include several common sense exemptions allowing employers to continue to use this tool for certain positions.

Chamber Position: Oppose House version
House Vote: 89-24-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Awaiting Governor’s signature

HB 4726 Insurance Coverage-Glucose Monitors

Coverage mandate that reduces flexibility and increases costs for employers.

Chamber Position: Oppose
House Vote: 77-30-3; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed House, Held in Senate

HB 5766 Tobacco Cessation Insurance Coverage

Coverage mandate that reduces flexibility and increases costs for employers.

Chamber Position: Oppose
House Vote: 66-40-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed House; Held in Senate

HB 6112 Prevailing Wage-Aggregates

Requires trucking firms carrying aggregate material to public works construction sites to be paid prevailing wage. Would increase taxpayer costs and promote hiring from neighboring states.

Chamber Position: Oppose
House Vote: 71-43-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed House; Held in Senate

SB 107 Telecommunications Modernization

Updates the state's 25-year old telecommunications laws that will bring widespread investment to Illinois. Allows telecommunications providers to invest in newer technology and provide better service to customers.

Chamber Position: Support
House Vote: 118-0-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SB 1691 Economic Incentive Credit Extensions

Extends the sunset on the Manufacturers' Purchase Credit, Graphic Arts Machinery Exemption and Replacement Tax Investment Credit. These exemptions are vital to economic incentives promoting investment in the Illinois economy.

Chamber Position: Support
House Vote: 118-0-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SB 1946 Pension Reform

Increases the retirement age and brings benefits more in line with the private sector for new state employees. A meaningful first step into gaining control of the worst funded pension systems in the nation.

Chamber Position: Support
House Vote: 92-17-7; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

SB 3576 Procurement Reform

Makes important changes to SB 51, the procurement reform bill of 2009. Removes many unintended consequences of the reform law and allows vendors to comply in a manner beneficial to taxpayers.

Chamber Position: Support
House Vote: 102-16-0; 3rd Reading
Outcome: Passed both Houses; Public Act

Name/Party	HB255	HB2239	HB3814	HB3923	HB4658	HB4726	HB5766	HB6112	SB107	SB1691	SB1946	SB3576			
	86-30-1	114-0-0	44-59-0	62-45-1	89-24-0	77-30-3	66-40-0	71-43-0	118-0-0	118-0-0	92-17-7	102-16-0			
Chamber Position	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	'09-'10	'07-'08	'05-'06
Acevedo [D]	+	+	-	E	-	-	E	-	+	+	+	+	60%	20%	31%
Arroyo [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	20%	NA
Bassi [R]	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%	80%	89%
Beaubien [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	80%	100%
Beiser [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	58%	29%	70%
Bellock [R]	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%	80%	90%
Berrios [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	20%	49%
Biggins [R]	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	67%	80%	100%
Black [R]	+	E	+	+	-	E	+	E	+	+	-	+	78%	89%	100%
Boland [D]	+	+	-	A	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	64%	18%	54%
Bost [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	E	+	+	+	P	+	100%	89%	90%
Bradley, John [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	29%	59%
Brady [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	92%	78%	100%
Brauer [R]	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	83%	89%	88%
Burke [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	20%	55%
Burns [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	NA	NA
Cavaletto [R]	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	75%	NA	NA
Chapa LaVia [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	31%	80%
Coladipietro [R]	-	+	E	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	73%	78%	NA
Cole [R]	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%	80%	NA
Collins [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	E	-	+	+	+	+	55%	9%	36%
Colvin [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	23%	65%
Connelly [R]	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%	NA	NA
Coulson [R]	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	50%	56%	62%
Crespo [D]	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	33%	22%	NA
Cross [R]	+	+	+	+	+	P	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	80%	100%
Cultra [R]	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%	89%	100%
Currie [D]	+	+	A	-	A	-	A	-	+	+	A	+	63%	9%	37%
D'Amico [D]	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	67%	9%	44%

Davis, Monique [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	31%	42%
Davis, Will [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	42%	23%	42%
DeLuca [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	58%	NA	NA
Dugan [D]	+	+	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	55%	33%	59%
Dunkin [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	67%	51%	55%
Durkin [R]	+	+	+	+	+	E	+	E	+	+	+	+	+	100	80%	NA
Eddy [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	P	+	100%	89%	100%
Farnham [D]	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	33%	NA	NA
Feigenholtz [D]	+	+	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	55%	9%	33%
Flider [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	42%	29%	80%
Flowers [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	9%	21%
Ford [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	9%	NA
Fortner [R]	-	+	E	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	82%	80%	NA
Franks [D]	-	+	-	NV	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	45%	33%	55%
Fritchey [D]	P	+	+	NV	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	54%	9%	20%
Froehlich [D]	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	33%	44%	88%
Golar [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	20%	NA
Gordon, C. [D]	+	E	-	NV	E	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	44%	23	49%
Gordon, J. [D]	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	42%	NA	NA
Graham [D]	+	+	E	-	A	-	A	-	-	+	+	+	+	67%	20%	49%
Hamos [D]	+	+	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	55%	23%	33%
Hannig, B [D]	+	E	-	-	E	-	E	-	-	+	+	+	+	56%	NA	NA
Harris [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	9%	NA
Hatcher [R]	-	+	+	-	-	P	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	73%	NA	NA
Hernandez [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	20%	NA
Hoffman [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	50%	45%	49%
Holbrook [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	58%	29%	80%
Howard [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	31%	42%
Jackson [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	42%	NA	NA
Jakobsson [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	9%	49%
Jefferson [D]	+	+	-	NV	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	55%	29%	44%
Joyce [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	58%	20%	52%

Kosel [R]	+	+	+	NV	+	E	+	+	+	+	+	-	90%	89%	90%
Lang [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	9%	44%
Leitch [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	80%	87%
Lyons, J. [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	20%	44%
Mathias [R]	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%	65%	90%
Mautino [D]	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	83%	53%	75%
May [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	33%	59%
McAsey [D]	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	33%	NA	NA
McAuliffe [R]	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	51%	59%
McCarthy [D]	+	+	NV	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	64%	20%	55%
McGuire [D]	+	+	NV	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	55%	9%	20%
Mell [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	A	-	+	+	+	+	55%	NA	NA
Mendoza [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	23%	54%
Miller [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	58%	9%	42%
Mitchell, Bill [R]	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	67%	69%	90%
Mitchell, Jerry [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	E	+	+	+	+	P	91%	89%	90%
Moffitt [R]	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%	64%	80%
Mulligan [R]	-	+	E	P	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%	67%	69%
Myers [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	92%	89%	89%
Nekritz [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	50%	44%	44%
Osmond [R]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	100%	89%	100%
Osterman [D]	+	+	A	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	55%	10%	33%
Phelps [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	50%	40%	70%
Pinos [R]	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	75%	80%	80%
Poe [R]	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	75%	67%	89%
Pritchard [R]	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	75%	80%	100%
Ramey [R]	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	P	75%	89%	NA
Reboletti [R]	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	67%	80%	NA
Reis [R]	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	NV	75%	89%	100%
Reitz [D]	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	P	50%	40%	80%
Riley [D]	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	42%	9%	NA
Rita [D]	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	67%	29%	44%

